

ATHEA TRIAL LAWYERS, LLP
 DEBORAH S. CHANG, CA State Bar No. 246013
 deborah@athealaw.com
 Telephone: 310.421.0011
 Facsimile: 310.861.1918
 44 Hermosa Avenue
 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

RANDI MCGINN, NM State Bar No. 1753
 randi@mcginnlaw.com
 Telephone: 505.843.6161
 201 Broadway Blvd. SE
 Albuquerque, NM 87102

ZOE LITTLEPAGE, TX State Bar No. 12430050
 zoe@littlepagebooth.com
 Telephone: 713.529.8000
 1912 West Main Street
 Houston, TX 77098

FRANK PENNEY INJURY LAWYERS
 FRANK PENNEY, CA State Bar No. 176170
 frank@penneylaw.com
 JOSHUA BOYCE, CA State Bar No. 270444
 joshua@penneylaw.com
 1544 Eureka Road, Suite 120
 Roseville, CA 95661
 Telephone: (916)788-1960
 Fax: (916)788-1970

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ludovic Michaud, Christine
 Namagembe and John Bosco Kateregga

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

LUDOVIC MICHAUD, an
 individual, CHRISTINE
 NAMAGEMBE, an individual and
 JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA, an
 individual;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1. NEGLIGENCE *PER SE*
(FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT, 28
U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671-2680)

2. NEGLIGENCE (FEDERAL
TORT CLAIMS ACT, 28 U.S.C. §§
1346(b), 2671-2680)

1 UNITED STATES, a government entity
2
3 Defendant.

**3. NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF
EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
(FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT, 28
U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671-2680)**

4
5 Plaintiffs LUDOVIC MICHAUD, an individual, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE,
6 an individual and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA, an individual, allege on information
7 and belief:
8

9 **INTRODUCTION**

10 1. Plaintiffs LUDOVIC MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and
11 JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA bring this action against Defendant for the
12 wrongful death of Esther Nakajjigo on June 13, 2020.
13

14 2. LUDOVIC MICHAUD claims negligent infliction of emotional
15 distress damages for witnessing the horrific death of his wife on June 13, 2020.
16

17 **PARTIES**

18 3. At the time of the wrongful death, Plaintiff LUDOVIC MICHAUD,
19 was a resident of the State of Colorado, County of Denver. LUDOVIC
20 MICHAUD was the husband of Esther Nakajjigo, who was also a resident of the
21 State of Colorado, County of Denver, at the time of her death.
22

23 4. At the time of the wrongful death, Plaintiff CHRISTINE
24
25

1 NAMAGEMBE was a resident of Uganda. CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE is the
2 mother of Esther Nakajjigo.

3 5. At the time of the wrongful death, Plaintiff JOHN BOSCO
4 KATEREGGA was a resident of Uganda. JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA is the
5 father of Esther Nakajjigo.
6

7 6. Esther Nakajjigo was born on April 16, 1995, and was 25 years old at
8 the time of her death on June 13, 2020 at Arches National Park in Utah.
9

10 7. Defendant UNITED STATES is a government entity organized and
11 existing under the laws of the United States of America. Defendant UNITED
12 STATES owned, operated and controlled Arches National Park, which was
13 operated by the UNITED STATES NATIONAL PARK SERVICES ("NPS").
14

15 8. At the time of Esther's death NPS acted through its agents or
16 employees, whose negligent acts or omissions occurred while in the course and
17 scope of their employment with the NPS for the purposes of the Federal Tort
18 Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671-2680 (hereinafter, "FTCA"), of Defendant
19 UNITED STATES.
20

21 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate,
22 partnership, associate, or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown
23 to Plaintiffs who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. The full
24
25

1 extent of the facts linking such fictitiously sued Defendants is unknown to Plaintiffs.
2 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege, that each of the Defendants
3 designated herein as a DOE was, and is, negligent, or in some other actionable
4 manner, responsible for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and
5 thereby negligently, or in some other actionable manner, legally and proximately
6 caused the hereinafter described injuries and damages to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs may
7 seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint (hereinafter, "COMPLAINT") to
8 show the Defendants' true names and capacities after the same have been
9 ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times
10 mentioned herein, Defendant and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,
11 were agents, servants, employees, successors in interest, and/or joint venturers of
12 their co-Defendants, and were, as such, acting within the course, scope, and authority
13 of said agency, employment, and/or venture, and that each and every Defendant, as
14 aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring,
15 training, and supervision of each and every other Defendant as an agent, servant,
16 employee, successor in interest, and/or joint venturer.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court's jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant UNITED STATES arises under the Federal Question Statute, 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), 28 U.S.C §1346. The wrongful death damages in this case exceed \$75,000.

11. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 32 CFR § 750.32 because this is the judicial district in which plaintiff LUDOVIC MICHAUD resides.

12. Plaintiffs LUDOVIC MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA each filed their own independent Administrative Claim, Form 95, for damage, injury or death on October 22, 2020, with United States of America, Department of the Interior, U.S. National Park Service, Arches National Park. The NPS has failed to respond to Plaintiffs' Administrative Claims within the designated six months. Therefore, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA each timely file this COMPLAINT for Damages.

13. The applicable substantive law is Utah statutory and common law Code, the venue in which the wrongful death occurred.

14. Three months into the Covid-19 pandemic in early April, 2020, almost all of the largest 62 National Parks were closed with locked gates because of public safety concerns.

15. On April 22, 2020, only a week after the last big-name park was closed, the President of the United States announced that the national parks would be re-opening.

16. Upon information and belief, many American citizens and members of the National Park Service warned that such a quick re-opening of public parks would endanger public safety, not just because of the pandemic, but because of cuts in funding for the park service and a reduction in trained NPS employees to safely re-open the parks.

17. In response to public and internal safety concerns, the NPS and park representatives promised that the safety and health of visitors would be the most important factor guiding the re-opening process.

18. Arches National Park in Utah re-opened on May 29, 2020, right after the normally busy Memorial Day weekend. On its first day open, the understaffed

1 park was overwhelmed by the number of people entering the park and closed the
2 gates at 9:10 a.m., just 3 hours after guests began arriving.

3 19. The entrance/exit gates used to close Arches National Park were made
4 of heavy metal pipes, in a triangular shape with spear-like sharp ends.
5



6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 *Photo of incident gate from 2013*

15 20. Over the decades the National Park Service (NPS) has used these
16 metal spear-like gates in many of America's national parks. The NPS has learned
17 that, when left unsecured, these gates can unexpectedly swing into the traveled part
18 of the roadway and pierce or penetrate into vehicles like a lance, injuring or
19 endangering the lives of the passengers inside.
20
21

22 21. In order to keep the traveling public safe on the roadways in our
23 national parks, when these metal spear-like gates are opened, they must be secured
24
25

1 to a metal pole, called a “gate keeper” or a “receiver post”, with a lock. The lock
2 can be purchased for \$8.



Photograph of incident Stop Sign gate in May of 2013 shows the necessity of a padlock to secure the swing gate to the receiver post

13
14 22. An unsecured gate is even more dangerous to the traveling public if it
15 is in a location where there is abundant wind. It is well known that Arches
16 National Park has abundant winds which some experts believe contributed to the
17 formation of the very arches of the National Park.

18
19 23. The NPS and Arches National Park managers, agents and employees
20 knew or should have known that the winds in the area were strong enough to blow
21 an unrestrained metal pipe gate into the path of an oncoming vehicle.

22
23 24. Despite this knowledge, approximately two weeks before June 13,
24 2020, the NPS, through its agents and employees, opened the entrance gate to
25

1 Arches National Park and did not secure the metal spear-like end of the gate to the
2 receiving post with a lock. In the intervening two weeks, they did not remedy the
3 unsafe condition they created and the gate remained unsecured. Pursuant to Utah
4 law, the fact that the NPS and its employees created this unsafe condition makes
5 the notice requirement inapplicable.
6

7 25. On June 13, 2020, at or around the time of the subject incident,
8
9 windspeeds at Arches National Park were recorded at approximately or over 25
10 MPH.
11

12 **Esther Nakajjigo**

13 26. Esther Nakajjigo, “Essie” to her friends and family, was born in
14 Kampala, Uganda. At the age of 25, she had accomplished more than most people
15 do in an entire lifetime and had much more to do with her life.
16

17 27. When she was 17, Essie donated her university tuition money to start
18 a private, not-for-profit community health center that she named the Princess
19 Diana Health Centre. The Centre, among other services, provided free adolescent
20 reproductive health care to young girls between the ages of 10-24 years old.
21

22 28. Essie’s desire and commitment to make the world a better place
23 moved others to donate money, beds, microscopes, computers and medical
24 supplies for the Centre to improve the health and wellbeing of her fellow
25

1 Ugandans. The United Nations Population Fund awarded her a Woman Achiever
2 Award at the age of 17. At the awards ceremony, Essie was named Uganda's
3 Ambassador for Women and Girls – a title she proudly bore until her death.
4

5 29. Essie used her growing fame to bring attention to child mothers and
6 the prevalence of teen girls leaving school because of poverty or pregnancy. She
7 created a reality TV show to highlight this problem which became Uganda's most
8 popular show. Essie became one of her country's most beloved television stars.
9

10 30. Essie was selected in 2018 to become a United States Department of
11 State Young African Leader and obtained the Mandela Washington Fellowship for
12 Young African Leaders. In that same year, she was selected as one of only 16
13 Young Leaders worldwide (out of 8,000 applicants) to attend the 2018 European
14 Development Days in Brussels as a European Commission Young Leader. While
15 there, Essie's inspirational speech caused Uganda to be selected as one of the
16 seven countries in which a Spotlight Initiative was to be piloted. Because of her
17 work, the Spotlight Initiative, aimed at ending gender-based violence against
18 women and girls, began in March of 2020 in Uganda.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



31. In 2018, Essie was offered a full scholarship to attend the prestigious Watson Institute in Boulder, Colorado. She began the program in January of 2019. Watson Institute is an accelerator program designed to advance the careers of social entrepreneurs through training, intensive mentorship, and creation of a global network and community of peers. Its alumni and scholars have created businesses hiring over 800 employees, impacting over 150,000 people world-wide and have raised over \$100 million in donations and funding. Not surprisingly, she excelled at Watson. At the end of the program, Essie won the grand prize for the best initiative.

1 32. Throughout her adulthood and at the time of her death, Essie
2 supported her mother and father and planned to continue to do so until the time of
3 their deaths.
4

5 33. To Essie, Boulder, Colorado and the United States represented a
6 bright new opportunity to make money and expand her ability to positively impact
7 the world.
8

9 34. And then something extraordinary and unexpected happened: Essie
10 met Ludovic (Ludo) Michaud. A solution architect for a multimedia technology
11 company, and an accomplished musician, Ludo had moved to Colorado from Paris,
12 France. When he first met her, Ludo had no idea Essie was a Ugandan television
13 star who was used to the red carpet and being recognized wherever she went in her
14 home country. Ludo just knew that her charisma, work ethic and compassion were
15 intoxicating.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



35. These two people from different parts of the world met and fell madly in love in Boulder. They enjoyed exploring their new city and country – including the local and national parks. Together, they planned a future in which Essie would transition into the business/entertainment/influencer world to self-fund her charitable work and continue her projects. Ludo would be by her side.

36. In March 2020 the couple decided to get married and they tied the knot at one of the only courthouses still open during the pandemic.

37. When they heard that Arches Park had re-opened, the newlyweds decided to visit the park.

The Fateful Trip to Arches National Park on June 13, 2020

38. For Essie and Ludo, the weekend excursion to Utah was a welcome break after months of pandemic lockdown.

39. Ludo had purchased an “America the Beautiful” annual national park pass for \$80. On Saturday, June 13, 2020, he presented the pass to the Arches park ranger upon their arrival.

40. After touring the park, at approximately 1:48 p.m., the couple drove their vehicle on the only roadway out of the park. Suddenly, and without warning, the unsecured Stop Sign metal gate was caught by the wind and swung into the roadway, directly into their car’s path of travel.

41. The end of the lance-like gate pierced the passenger side of their car, impaling and severing Essie Nakajjigo’s head and face from her body, fragmenting her brain into pieces, and mangling her right arm from shoulder to fingers.



42. In the driver's seat, Ludo was instantly covered with blood and looked over to find his wife decapitated.

43. The repercussions from Essie Nakajjigo's death reverberated throughout the world, and condolences poured in, including from 52 world leaders. Two different funeral services were held in Uganda, and a street was re-named Princess Essie Avenue to honor her, as she was dubbed the country's "Princess of Hearts."

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE *PER SE* (FTCA) AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein.

44. Defendant United States through the NPS is negligent *per se* for violating the standards set out in the National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (23 C.F.R. § 655.603).

National MUTCD 23 C.F.R. § 655.60

45. The national standard for all traffic control devices installed on any roadway open to public travel is set forth in 23 C.F.R. § 655.603, the National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) approved by the Federal Highway Administrator (“FHA”). In a 1973 Memorandum of Understanding between the NPS and the FHA, as amended and modified in 2006, it was agreed that “[a]ll NPS roads open to public travel will be in full compliance with the **MUTCD** unless otherwise stated below” (emphasis added).

46. Pursuant to the MUTCD, any manually operated gate installed and operated under authorization of a public agency to control traffic on public roadways is a traffic control device.¹

¹ MUTCD Section 1A.13 Definitions – 79. Gate.

1 47. The NPS had the responsibility for the proper design, placement,
2 maintenance and operation of the entrance/exit gate.² This included **maintenance**
3 required to ensure proper functioning of **all** aspects of the gate arms, including the
4 **locking mechanisms**.³ The MUTCD requires that the responsibility for the
5 **competent** maintenance of the gate **and all of the necessary appurtenances “be**
6 **clearly established”** before installation (emphasis added).⁴
7
8

9 48. The National MUTCD, Section 2B.68 on “Gates”, specifies that
10 “[w]hen gate arms are . . . rotated in the **open position**, the closest part of the gate
11 arm and support **shall have a lateral offset of at least two feet from . . . the edge**
12 **of the traveled way”** (emphasis added). This mandatory requirement **prohibits an**
13 **unsecured gate** arm from swinging into the traveled way of a roadway when in the
14 open position. To comply with this statute, a swing gate that is kept in the open
15 position must have an appropriate locking device.⁵
16
17
18
19
20

21 ² MUTCD Section 1A.07 Responsibility for Traffic Control Devices.

22 ³ See MUTCD Section 1A.05 Maintenance of Traffic Control Devices.

23 ⁴ MUTCD Section 4D.02 Responsibility for Operation and Maintenance.

24 ⁵ The International Property Maintenance Code, also adopted by the United States General
25 Service Administration, requires in section 304.19 that all exterior gates be maintained in good
condition, and that such gates are secured.

1 49. The National MUTCD Gates section 2B.68 also provides that “[w]hen
2 a gate that is **rotated in a horizontal plane** is in the position where it is parallel to
3 traffic (indicating that the roadway is **open**), the outer end of the gate arm should
4 be **rotated to the downstream direction** (from the perspective of the traffic in the
5 lane adjacent to the gate support) **to prevent spearing** if the gate is struck by an
6 errant vehicle” (emphasis added).
7

8
9 50. In violation of this section, the Arches Stop Sign gate opened in the
10 wrong direction. The gate opened directly into oncoming traffic.
11

12 51. In addition, the National MUTCD Gates section 2B.68 provides that
13 gate arms “shall be fully retro-reflectorized on both sides” with alternating red and
14 white vertical stripes at 16-inch intervals. Because the gate arms and support also
15 constitute obstructions on the side of the roadway, object markers should have
16 been placed on the gate arm and support pursuant to section 2C.65 Object Markers
17 for Obstructions Adjacent to Roadway.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



52. In violation of this section, the Arches gate was made practically invisible to the traveling public by being painted adobe brown causing it to blend into the background.

53. The MUTCD provides in **section 4D.01** that when a traffic control signal was not in operation, such as during seasonal shutdowns, the signal faces should be “turned or taken down.” The subject entrance gate, and its attached STOP sign (on one side of the road) and ROAD CLOSED sign (on the other side of the road) had not been in operation for weeks and rarely are in operation at Arches National Park.

54. In violation of this section, the NPS did not remove the signs from the swing gates which allowed those signs to create a sail-like effect and accelerated the movement of the gates when the wind blew.

1 55. The NPS, through its agents or employees, was negligent *per se* in its
2 maintenance and use of the Arches entrance/exit swing gate in one or more of the
3 following ways:

4 a. Violating the requirement that an open gate be secured by a
5 locking mechanism;

6 b. Choosing not to fix the gate so it opened in the correct way and
7 could not impale oncoming traffic;

8 c. Painting the gate adobe brown, rather than red and white so it
9 was camouflaged and difficult for the traveling public to see; and

10 d. Violating the provision that signs should be removed from
11 swing gates when not in use to prevent them from being blown into traffic by the
12 wind.

13 56. As a legal, direct and proximate cause of the negligence *per se* of the
14 Defendant United States, the government created with the Arches gate a lethal and
15 undetectable danger for the unsuspecting motorists who visited the park, and which
16 on June 13, 2020, turned a metal pipe into a spear that went straight through the
17 side of a car, decapitating and killing Esther Nakajjigo.

18 57. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligence *per se* of
19 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
20 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA
21 have suffered damages resulting from the loss of past and future love, compassion,
22 society, comfort, pleasure, advice, care, protection, and affection of their wife and
23
24
25

1 daughter, Essie Nakajjigo, all to their general damages in a sum that will be proven
2 at trial.

3 58. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligence *per se* of
4 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
5 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA
6 and the world will be deprived of the financial support and assistance of their wife
7 and daughter, Essie Nakajjigo, the exact amount of such losses to be proven at
8 trial.
9

10
11 59. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligence *per se* of
12 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
13 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA
14 have incurred property, funeral and burial expenses in an amount to be proven at
15 trial.
16
17

18 **COUNT II**

19 **CLAIM FOR NEGLIGENCE (FTCA) AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS**

20 All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein.

21
22 60. Defendants owed a duty of reasonable care to keep safe all visitors to
23 the National Parks and to follow and meet the standards set out by the National Park
24 Service Management Policy Manual.
25

1 61. The NPS Management Policy Manual (2006) specifies that **the saving**
2 **of human life takes precedence over all of its management actions so as to**
3 **protect human life and provide for injury-free visits. The NPS “will strive to**
4 **identify and prevent injuries from recognizable threats to the safety and health**
5 **of persons . . . by applying nationally accepted codes, standards, [and]**
6 **engineering principles”** (*emphasis added*).
7

8
9 62. Federal agencies, including the NPS, are required to use standards
10 developed by voluntary consensus standards organizations like the American
11 Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) International and other federal agencies.
12 See the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §
13 3701 et seq., and the Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-119, Federal
14 Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards.
15

16
17 63. All of the relevant industry standards relating to metal swing gates
18 require the construction **and use** of a gatekeeper or receiver post and that gates be
19 secured or locked when in the open position.
20

21 64. In order to prevent the impaling of vehicles, the ASTM F 900-11
22 Standard Specification for Industrial and Commercial Steel Swing Gates requires
23 that swing gates have gate keepers which “**shall** consist of a mechanical device for
24 securing the free end of the gate when in full open position.” Paragraph 6.6
25

1 specifies that keepers (or receiver posts) shall be provided for each gate leaf over 5
2 feet wide, and that “[g]ate keepers shall consist of a mechanical device for securing
3 the free end of the gate when in full open position” (emphasis added). The use of
4 the word “shall” in ASTM specifications “is used to indicate that a provision is
5 mandatory.”
6

7 65. Because the Arches gate consisted of two gate leafs over 5 feet wide,
8 to comply with the mandatory ASTM standards, each leaf had to have (and use) a
9 keeper with a mechanical device (such as a latch, lock or a chain and padlock) for
10 securing the free end when the gate was open.
11
12

13 66. The United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service created a
14 manual entitled “Vehicle Barriers: Their Use and Planning Considerations.” This
15 manual details specifications and requirements for virtually every kind of manual
16 swing gate and all of them require a locking mechanism to secure each gate arm to
17 the receiver post.
18

19 67. The same is true for gate and barrier specifications promulgated by
20 the United States Army and Navy for use on their bases. The Military Handbook
21 for Design Guidelines for Security Fencing, Gates, Barriers and Guard Facilities
22 published by the United States Department of Defense specifies that all such gates
23 be provided with locking hardware, including a padlock.
24
25

1 68. Unlike electronic gates, manual horizontal swing barriers require
2 human intervention to ensure they are regularly maintained, inspected and locked
3 when in the open or closed positions. All of the standards and specifications detail
4 that the installing agency must ensure that maintenance, inspection and locking
5 occurs.
6

7 69. The NPS and Arches National Park employees and personnel did not
8 have any discretion or judgment with respect to securing the metal entrance/exit
9 gates because federal statutes, regulations, and its own policies specifically require
10 such safety actions of all employees and personnel.
11

12 70. In violation of its duty of reasonable care for safety and in violation of
13 federal standards, design manuals, civil engineering standards, gate industry
14 standards, NPS specification and/or their own established protocols, policies and
15 procedures for metal swing gates, the Defendants, through their agents and
16 employees were negligent in one or more of the following ways:
17

18 a. Inappropriately constructing and/or installing the Arches metal
19 pipe Stop Sign gate, including installing the gate so that it swung into oncoming
20 traffic if blown by the wind and not providing sufficient markings on the gate to
21 ensure it was easily visible to motorists;
22

23 b. Not providing or implementing a locking mechanism on the
24 gate receiver post to ensure the gate arm was secured when the gate was in the
25 open position, including a padlock, chain or lock or latch;

1 c. Not regularly inspecting or maintaining the gate equipment to
2 ensure the gate was locked at all times when in the open position, including in the
two weeks the park was open before June 13, 2020;

3 d. Not properly training, monitoring, or supervising park
4 personnel to ensure that the gate was locked and restrained when in the open
5 position;

6 e. Not taking appropriate precautions or measures to remedy,
7 guard against, or eliminate the dangerous condition even though they knew or
8 reasonably should have known about the dangers posed by unlocked metal pipe
gate arms;

9 f. Creating an unsafe, dangerous condition that was undetectable
10 to drivers, and then placing it in close proximity to the visitor center on a much
11 traveled roadway where strong winds were common; or

12 g. Not securing the gate arm while it was in the open position,
13 which allowed it to swing into the path of travel of Essie and Ludo's car in such a
way that the driver was unable to see it as he drove towards it.

14
15 71. Defendant UNITED STATES created an inherently dangerous and
16 unsafe condition, in a much traveled area leading to the death of Essie Nakajjigo.

17 72. Further, Defendant failed to use reasonable care with respect to this
18 danger on its property that Defendant UNITED STATES and/or its employees
19 created and was known about by Defendant UNITED STATES and/or its employees
20 at ARCHES prior to the death of Essie Nakajjigo.
21

22 73. Defendants' negligent conduct directly and proximately caused the
23 death of Essie Nakajjigo and the resulting injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiffs
24
25

1 LUDOVIC MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO
2 KATEREGGA.

3 74. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of
4 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
5 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA
6 have suffered damages resulting from the loss of past and future love, compassion,
7 society, comfort, pleasure, advice, care, protection, and affection of their wife and
8 daughter, Essie Nakajjigo, all to their general damages in a sum that will be proven
9 at trial.
10
11

12 75. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of
13 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
14 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA will
15 be deprived of the financial support and assistance of their wife and daughter Essie
16 Nakajjigo, in an amount to be proven at trial.
17
18

19 76. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of
20 Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiffs LUDOVIC
21 MICHAUD, CHRISTINE NAMAGEMBE, and JOHN BOSCO KATEREGGA
22 have incurred property, funeral and burial expenses in an amount to be stated
23 according to proof.
24
25

COUNT III**NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (FTCA) ON
LUDOVIC MICHAUD AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS**

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein.

77. Esther Nakajjigo was the soulmate Ludo Michaud had been looking for all of his life.

78. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligent or negligent per se conduct of Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiff Ludo Michaud was immediately next to and witnessed the death of his wife, including the severing of his wife's head and face from her body, the fragmenting of her brain into pieces as it fell into her lap, and the mangling of her right arm from shoulder to fingers.

79. With both her carotid arteries severed, Essie's blood spewed and sprayed everywhere in the car. Ludo was literally drenched from head to toe in her warm blood. He will never forget the metallic smell of the blood. Ludo had to remain in his blood-soaked clothes for the rest of the day and all night until he returned to Colorado. He cleaned his wife's blood and brain matter out of his ears the next morning.

80. As a direct result Ludo Michaud suffered severe emotional distress.

82. As a legal, direct and proximate result of the negligent or negligent *per se* conduct of Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, Plaintiff Ludo Michaud was compelled to and did employ the services of hospitals, physicians, therapists, nurses and the like, to care for and treat him, and did incur hospital, medical, professional and incidental expenses, and he will necessarily incur additional like expenses for an indefinite period of time in the future, the exact amount of which expenses will be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant UNITED STATES and DOES 1 through 10, as follows:

1. For all available general damages (non-economic damages);

2. For all available special damages (economic damages);
3. For attorney's fees as allowable by law;
4. For costs of suit and prejudgment interest as awardable by law; and
5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: June 8, 2021

ATHEA TRIAL LAWYERS, LLP

By: /s/
 Deborah S. Chang
 Athea Trial Lawyers, LLP
 44 Hermosa Avenue
 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
 Telephone: 310.421.0011
 Facsimile: 310.861.1918
 e-mail: deborah@athealaw.com
 Attorney for Plaintiffs Ludovic Michaud,
 Christine Namagembe and John Bosco
 Kateregga